

## SMOOTH REPRESENTABILITY OF A SMOOTH MULTIVARIATE FUNCTION BY SUMS OF GENERALIZED RIDGE FUNCTIONS

Fidan M. Isgandarli\*

Baku State University

Received 10 July 2025; accepted 22 August 2025

<https://doi.org/10.30546/209501.102.2025.2.3.501>

---

### Abstract

In this paper, we find a condition under which the smooth multivariate function can be represented by sums of smooth generalized ridge functions.

**Keywords:** ridge function, smooth multivariate function, representation, increment, generalized ridge function.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2020):**

---

### 1. Introduction

A multivariate function  $F : R^n \rightarrow R$  of the form

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{a}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^d \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

is called a generalized ridge function, where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ ,  $f : R^d \rightarrow R$  is a real-valued function defined on  $R^d$ ,  $1 \leq d < n$ ,  $\mathbf{a}^j = (a_1^j, \dots, a_n^j) \in R^n \setminus \{0\}$ ,

---

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [fidanisgandarli100@gmail.com](mailto:fidanisgandarli100@gmail.com) (Fidan Isgandarli)

$j = \overline{1, d}$  are fixed linearly independent vectors (directions). For  $d = 1$ , generalized ridge function called a ridge function. Ridge functions and generalized ridge functions arise naturally in various fields. We refer the reader to the monographs of A. Pinkus [1] and V. Ismailov [2] for a detailed and systematic study of ridge functions.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\{\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^d\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{b}^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}^d\}$ ,  $1 \leq d < n$ , be linear independent vector systems in  $R^n$ . If

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^d\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{b}^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}^d\}$$

then the systems  $\{\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^d\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{b}^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}^d\}$  are called equivalent, otherwise, that is, if

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^d\} \neq \text{span}\{\mathbf{b}^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}^d\},$$

then the systems  $\{\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^d\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{b}^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}^d\}$  are called non-equivalent.

**Remark 1.** Obviously, if the systems  $\{\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^d\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{b}^1, \dots, \mathbf{b}^d\}$  are equivalent, then any generalized ridge function of the form

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{a}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^d \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

also has the form

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{b}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{b}^d \cdot \mathbf{x}).$$

Therefore, when defining a generalized ridge function, without loss of generality, we can assume that the vectors  $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^d$  are unit and mutually perpendicular.

Assume we are given a function  $F \in C^{(s)}(R^n)$  of the form

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,d} \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

where  $\{\mathbf{a}^{1,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,d}\}, \dots, \{\mathbf{a}^{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{m,d}\}$  are fixed pairwise non-equivalent vector systems in  $R^n$  and  $f_k : R^d \rightarrow R$ ,  $k = \overline{1, m}$  are the real-valued functions.

Is it true that there will always exist  $g_k \in C^{(s)}(R^d)$ ,  $k = \overline{1, m}$  such that

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^m g_k(\mathbf{a}^{(k),1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(k),d} \cdot \mathbf{x})?$$

This question was posed in M.Buhmann and A.Pinkus [3] for ridge function representation and Pinkus [4] for generalized ridge function representation. In [7, 8, 9], the authors gave a partial solution to the above representation problem for ridge function representation. In [9], this problem for ridge function representation is solved up to a multivariate polynomial:

For the representation of generalized ridge function proved in [10] following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Assume a function  $F \in C^{(m)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is of the form

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $\{\mathbf{a}^{1,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1}\}, \dots, \{\mathbf{a}^{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{m,n-1}\}$  are fixed pairwise non-equivalent vector systems in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are arbitrarily behaved real-valued functions of  $n-1$  variables. Then there exist functions  $g_k \in C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ ,  $k = \overline{1, m}$ , such that

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^m g_k(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1)$$

In this paper we show that if  $m \geq 2$ , then the representation of generalized ridge function (1) satisfied for  $g_k \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ .

## 2.The smoothness problem in generalized ridge function representation

**Lemma 1.** Let  $p \geq 0$  and

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + f_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n) \in C^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then

$$f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) - f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 0) \in C^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}).$$

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Indeed, it follows from equations

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + f_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n),$$

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 0, x_n) = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 0) + f_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n)$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) - f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 0) = \\ & = F(x_1, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 0, x_d) \in C^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $p \geq 0$  and

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + f_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n) \in C^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Then there exist functions

$$g_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}), g_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n) \in C^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$$

such that

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + g_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n).$$

**Proof of Lemma 2.** Let us denote

$$g_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) - f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 0),$$

$$g_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n) = f_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n) + f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 0).$$

It follows from the Lemma 1 that  $g_1 \in C^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  and the following equation is satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + f_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n) = \\ &= [f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) - f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 0)] + \\ &\quad + [f_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n) + f_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, 0)] = \\ &= g_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + g_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$g_2(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n) = F(x_1, \dots, x_n) - g_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \in C^{(p)}(R^{n-1}).$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $p \geq 0$  and  $\{\mathbf{a}^{1,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1}\}, \{\mathbf{a}^{2,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{2,n-1}\}$  is non-equiavalent vector system in  $R^n$ . If

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = f_1(\mathbf{a}^{1,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{a}^{2,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{2,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}), \quad (2)$$

then there exist functions  $g_1, g_2 \in C^{(p)}(R^{n-1})$ , such that

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = g_1(\mathbf{a}^{1,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + g_2(\mathbf{a}^{2,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{2,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}). \quad (3)$$

**Proof of Lemma 3.** We assume that the system  $\{\mathbf{a}^{1,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1}\}, \{\mathbf{a}^{2,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{2,n-1}\}$  is non-equivalent vector system. Then there exist the unit vectors  $\mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2}$  such that

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{a}^{1,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1}\} \cap \text{span}\{\mathbf{a}^{2,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{2,n-1}\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2}\}.$$

Therefore, there exist the vectors  $\mathbf{c}^{n-1}$  and  $\mathbf{c}^n$  such that the following equations hold:

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{a}^{1,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1}\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2}, \mathbf{c}^{n-1}\},$$

$$\text{span}\{\mathbf{a}^{2,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{2,n-1}\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2}, \mathbf{c}^n\}.$$

In other words, the systems  $\{\mathbf{a}^{1,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1}\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2}, \mathbf{c}^{n-1}\}$ ,  $\{\mathbf{a}^{2,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{2,n-1}\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2}, \mathbf{c}^n\}$  are equivalent. Then we can write equation (2) in the form

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = f_1(\mathbf{c}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{c}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}^n \cdot \mathbf{x}) \quad (4)$$

If we adopt the notation

$$\begin{cases} y_1 = \mathbf{c}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ y_n = \mathbf{c}^n \cdot \mathbf{x} \end{cases}$$

then the equation (4) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= F(\mathbf{x}) = \\ &= \tilde{f}_1(y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) + \tilde{f}_2(y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, y_n) \in C^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2, there exist functions  $\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2 \in C^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  such that

$$\tilde{F}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \tilde{g}_1(y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) + \tilde{g}_2(y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, y_n).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbf{x}) &= \tilde{F}(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \tilde{g}_1(y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1}) + \tilde{g}_2(y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}, y_n) = \\ &= \tilde{g}_1(\mathbf{c}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + \tilde{g}_2(\mathbf{c}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}^n \cdot \mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since the vector systems  $\{\mathbf{a}^{1,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1}\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2}, \mathbf{c}^{n-1}\}$ ,  $\{\mathbf{a}^{2,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{2,n-1}\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{c}^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}^{n-2}, \mathbf{c}^n\}$  are equivalent, we can express the equation (5) of the form

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = g_1(\mathbf{a}^{1,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}) + g_2(\mathbf{a}^{2,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{2,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}).$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma 3.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{n-1}$  are any linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the vector  $l \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is not perpendicular to the vector space  $\text{span}\{\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{n-1}\}$ . Then for any function  $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  there exist a

continuously differentiable generalized ridge function of the form  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{a}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x})$  such that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{a}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x})$$

for any  $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$ .

**Proof of Lemma 4.** It follows from Remark 1 that without loss of generality, we can assume that the vectors  $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{n-1}$  are unit and mutually perpendicular. Denote by  $\mathbf{a}^0$  the unit vector, perpendicular to the vectors  $\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{n-1}$ . Let

$$l = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \alpha_p \mathbf{a}^p.$$

As the vector  $l \in R^n$  is not perpendicular to the vector space  $\text{span}\{\mathbf{a}^1, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{n-1}\}$ , then

$$\eta_0 = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \alpha_p^2 > 0.$$

Denote

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\eta_0} \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \alpha_p \mathbf{a}^p \cdot \mathbf{x} \int_0^{\mathbf{a}^p \cdot \mathbf{x}} \varphi(s_1(t, \mathbf{x}), \dots, s_{n-1}(t, \mathbf{x})) dt,$$

where

$$s_k(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\eta_0} \left( \alpha_k t + \sum_{p=1, p \neq k}^{n-1} (\alpha_p^2 \cdot \mathbf{a}^k - \alpha_p \alpha_k \cdot \mathbf{a}^p) \cdot \mathbf{x} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

It follows from equations

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{a}^k}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\alpha_k}{\eta_0} \cdot \varphi(\mathbf{a}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}) +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{\eta_0^2} \int_0^{\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \alpha_p \mathbf{a}^p \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left( \sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u_j} (s_1(t, \mathbf{x}), \dots, s_{n-1}(t, \mathbf{x})) \cdot (-\alpha_k \alpha_j) \right) dt, \\
 & + \frac{1}{\eta_0^2} \int_0^{\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \alpha_p \mathbf{a}^p \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u_k} (s_1(t, \mathbf{x}), \dots, s_{n-1}(t, \mathbf{x})) \cdot \sum_{p=1, p \neq k}^{n-1} \alpha_p^2 \right) dt, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1, \\
 & \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{a}^0}(\mathbf{x}) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial l}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{a}^k}(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{a}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}).$$

On the other side, it follows from  $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{a}^0}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  that the function  $\Phi$  is of the form  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{a}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x})$ . This completes the proof of the Lemma 4.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $m \geq 2$  and the function  $F \in C^{(m)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is of the form

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{6}$$

where  $\{\mathbf{a}^{1,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{1,n-1}\}, \dots, \{\mathbf{a}^{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{m,n-1}\}$  are fixed pairwise non-equivalent vector systems in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are arbitrarily behaved real-valued functions of  $n-1$  variables. Then there exist functions  $g_k \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ ,  $k = \overline{1, m}$ , such that

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^m g_k(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{7}$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We will prove the theorem using the method of mathematical induction. In the case  $m=2$  theorem follows from Lemma 3. Assume that the theorem is true in the case  $m-1$ . We will show that it also holds for  $m$ . Since the function  $F \in C^{(m)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be represented by (6), it follows

from Theorem 1 that there exist the functions  $h_k \in C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ ,  $k = 1..m$ , such that

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^m h_k(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}). \quad (8)$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial l_m}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{a}^{k,i} \cdot l_m \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial u_i}(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}), \quad (9)$$

where  $l_m$  is a unit vector, perpendicular to the vector systems  $\{\mathbf{a}^{m,1}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{m,n-1}\}$ . It follows from (9) that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial l_m}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \tilde{h}_k(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \in C^{(m-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (10)$$

Then, by the principle of mathematical induction, we obtain that there exist functions  $\tilde{g}_k \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ , such that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial l_m}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^m \tilde{g}_k(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}).$$

According to Lemma 4, there exist functions

$$\phi_k(\mathbf{x}) = g_k(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \in C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}), \quad k = \overline{1, m-1}$$

such that

$$\frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial l_m}(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{g}_k(\mathbf{a}^{k,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{k,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}), \quad k = \overline{1, m-1}.$$

Then it follows from (10) that we can write it in the form of

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial l_m} \left[ F(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \phi_k(\mathbf{x}) \right] = 0. \quad (11)$$

It follows from (11) that the difference  $F(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \phi_k(\mathbf{x})$  does not depend on the direction  $l_m$ . Therefore there exist the function  $g_m : R^{n-1} \rightarrow R$  such that

$$F(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \phi_k(\mathbf{x}) = g_m(\mathbf{a}^{m,1} \cdot \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{m,n-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}). \quad (12)$$

Taking into account the expression of the functions, it follows that equation (7) is satisfied. Given the conditions  $g_k \in C^{(2)}(R^{n-1})$ ,  $k = \overline{1, m-1}$  and  $F \in C^{(m)}(R^n)$  it follows from (12) that  $g_m \in C^{(2)}(R^{n-1})$ . This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.

## References

- [1] Pinkus A. *Ridge functions*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 205. Cambridge University Press; 2015.
- [2] Ismailov VE. *Ridge Functions and Applications in Neural Networks*. AMS book series: Mathematical surveys and monographs, vol. 263; 2021.
- [3] Buhmann MD, Pinkus A. Identifying linear combinations of ridge functions. *Adv Appl Math* **1999**, v. 22, p. 103-118.
- [4] Pinkus A. Smoothness and uniqueness in ridge function representation. *Indag Math* **2013**, v. 24(4), p. 725-738.
- [5] Aliiev RA, Ismailov VE. On a smoothness problem in ridge function representation. *Adv Appl Math* **2016**, v. 73, p. 154-169.
- [6] Kuleshov AA. On some properties of smooth sums of ridge functions (in Russian). *Tr Mat Inst Steklova* **2016**, v. 294, p. 99-104.
- [7] Aliiev RA, Asgarova AA, Ismailov VE. A note on continuous sums of ridge functions. *J Approx Theory* **2019**, v. 237, p. 210-221.
- [8] Aliiev RA, Ismailov VE. A representation problem for smooth sums of ridge functions. *J Approx Theory* **2020**, v. 257, no. 105448, 13 pp.
- [9] İsgandarlı FM. On the representability of a smooth trivariate function by sums of generalized ridge functions. *Baku State University Journal of Physics &*

*Space Sciences* **2024**, v 1 (1), p. 16-26.

- [10] Akbarov AA, Isgandarli FM. On the representability of a smooth function by sums of generalized ridge functions. Proceeding of Institute of Mathematics and mechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Azerba