Baku State University Journal of Mathematics & Computer Sciences 2025, v 2 (1), p. 28-39

journal homepage: http://bsuj.bsu.edu.az/en

EXISTENCE OF GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR ONE TRANSMISSION PROBLEM WITH NONLINEAR ACOUSTIC CONDITIONS

Sevda E. Isayeva*

Baku State University Received 06 January 2025; accepted 12 February 20254 DOI: https://doi.org/10.30546/209501.101.2025.3.201.06

Abstract

In this paper we consider a mixed problem for nonlinear wave equations with nonlinear transmission acoustic conditions. The existence of global solutions for this problem is proved.

Keywords Nonlinear wave equation, transmission conditions, nonlinear acoustic conditions, local existence, global existence. *Mathematics Subject Classification* (2019): 35A01, 35A02

mathematics Subject Classification (2019): 35A01, 35A

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \ge 1)$ with smooth boundary Γ_1 , $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega$ is a subdomain with smooth boundary Γ_2 and $\Omega_1 = \Omega \setminus \Omega_2$ is a subdomain with boundary $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$. We consider the following nonlinear transmission acoustic problem:

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + |u_t|^{q_1 - 1} u_t = |u|^{p - 1} u \text{ in } \Omega_1 \times (0, \infty),$$
 (1)

^{*} E-mail address: isayevasevda@rambler.ru

$$\upsilon_{tt} - \Delta\upsilon + |\upsilon_t|^{q_2 - 1} \upsilon_t = |\upsilon|^{p - 1} \upsilon \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_2 \times (0, \infty) , \tag{2}$$

$$M\delta_{tt} + D\delta_t + K\delta = -u_t \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 \times (0, \infty),$$
 (3)

$$u = 0$$
 on $\Gamma_1 \times (0, \infty)$, (4)

$$u = v$$
, $\delta_t = \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial v} + \rho(u_t)$ on $\Gamma_2 \times (0, \infty)$, (5)

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \ u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \ x \in \overline{\Omega}_1,$$
 (6)

$$\nu(x,0) = \nu_0(x), \ \nu_t(x,0) = \nu_1(x), \ x \in \overline{\Omega}_2,$$
(7)

$$\delta(x,0) = \delta_0(x), \ \delta_t(x,0) = \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial v} + \rho(u_0) \equiv \delta_1, \ x \in \overline{\Gamma}_2, \tag{8}$$

where ν is the unit outward normal vector to Γ ; $M, D, K : \overline{\Gamma}_2 \to R, \rho : R \to R \ u_0, u_1 : \overline{\Omega}_1 \to R, \ \upsilon_0, \upsilon_1 : \overline{\Omega}_2 \to R, \ \delta_0 : \overline{\Gamma}_2 \to R$ are given functions, $p > 1, q_i > 1, \ i = 1, 2$ are constants.

Transmission problems arise in several applications of physics and biology. Transmission problems were studied, for example, in [4-8,18,28]. Acoustic boundary conditions were introduced by Beale, Rosecrans [1] and studied in [2-3], [9-13], [14-16], [19-27].

We consider the nonlinear transmission acoustic problem (1)-(8) for which we prove the existence of global solutions under the condition $p \le \max \{q_1, q_2\}.$

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce some notations, preliminaries and statement of main results; in section 3 we prove the theorem on existence of a global solution.

2. Preliminaries and main results

The inner product and norm in $L^2(\Omega_i)$, i = 1,2 and $L^2(\Gamma_2)$ are denoted respectively, by

$$(u,\upsilon)_{i} = \int_{\Omega_{i}} u(x)\upsilon(x)dx, \qquad ||u||_{i} = \left(\int_{\Omega_{i}} (u(x))^{2} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, i = 1, 2,$$
$$(\delta,\theta)_{\Gamma_{2}} = \int_{\Gamma_{2}} \delta(x)\theta(x)d\Gamma_{2}, \qquad ||\delta||_{\Gamma_{2}} = \left(\int_{\Gamma_{2}} (\delta(x))^{2} d\Gamma_{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We define a closed subspace of the $H^1(\Omega_1)$ as

$$H_{\Gamma_1}^1(\Omega_1) = \left\{ u \in H^1(\Omega_1) : \gamma_0(u) = 0 \text{ a. e. on } \Gamma_1 \right\},$$

where $\gamma_0: H^1(\Omega_1) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ is the trace map of order zero and $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ is the Sobolev space of order $\frac{1}{2}$ defined over Γ , as introduced by Lions and Magenes [17]. Observe that the norm in $H^1_{\Gamma}(\Omega_1)$:

$$\left\|u\right\|_{H^{1}_{\Gamma_{1}}(\Omega_{1})} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and the norm of the real Sobolev Space $H^1(\Omega_1)$ are equivalent, because the Poincare's inequality holds in $H^1_{\Gamma_1}(\Omega_1)$. Thus we consider $H^1_{\Gamma_1}(\Omega_1)$ with the above gradient norm.

We give our main result on global existence and uniqueness of weak solutions. First of all, we give the definition of a weak solution and the theorem on local existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for the problem (1)-(8), which is proved by combining the Galerkin method and the fixed point method in the work [29].

Definition 1. The triple of functions $(u(x,t), v(x,t), \delta(x,t))$, where $u: \Omega_1 \times [0,T] \to R, v: \Omega_2 \times [0,T] \to R, \delta: \Gamma_2 \times [0,T] \to R$, is called a weak solution of the problem (1) (8) if

is called a weak solution of the problem (1)-(8), if

$$\begin{split} u &\in L^{\infty} \Big(0,T ; H^{1}_{\Gamma_{1}} \big(\Omega_{1} \big) \Big) \text{ , } \upsilon \in L^{\infty} \Big(0,T ; H^{1} \big(\Omega_{2} \big) \Big), \\ \gamma_{0} \Big(u \Big) &= \gamma_{0} \Big(\upsilon \Big) \text{ a. e. on } \Gamma_{2} \times (0,T), \\ u_{t} &\in L^{\infty} \Big(0,T ; L^{2} \big(\Omega_{1} \big) \Big) \bigcap L^{q_{1}+1} \big(\Omega_{1} \times \big(0,T \big) \big), \\ \upsilon_{t} &\in L^{\infty} \Big(0,T ; L^{2} \big(\Omega_{2} \big) \Big) \bigcap L^{q_{2}+1} \big(\Omega_{2} \times \big(0,T \big) \big), \\ \delta, \delta_{t} &\in L^{\infty} \Big(0,T ; L^{2} \big(\Gamma_{2} \big) \Big) \end{split}$$

and:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u_t, \Phi)_1 + (\nabla u, \nabla \Phi)_1 + (|u_t|^{q_1 - 1} u_t, \Phi)_1 +$$

$$+ \frac{d}{dt}(v_t, \Psi)_2 + (\nabla v, \nabla \Psi)_2 + (|v_t|^{q_2 - 1} v_t, \Psi)_2 +$$

$$+ (\rho(\gamma_0(u_t)), \gamma_0(\Phi))_{\Gamma_2} - (\delta_t, \gamma_0(\Phi))_{\Gamma_2} =$$

$$= (f(u), \Phi)_1 + (g(v), \Psi)_2$$

for $\forall \Phi \in H^1_{\Gamma_1}(\Omega_1)$, $\forall \Psi \in H^1(\Omega_2)$ such that $\Phi = \Psi$ on Γ_2 in the sense of distributions in D'(0,T) and

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\gamma_0(u) + M\delta_t, e)_{\Gamma_2} + (D\delta_t + K\delta, e)_{\Gamma_2} = 0$$

for $\forall e \in L^2(\Gamma_2)$ in the sense of distributions in D'(0,T), as well as:

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), u_t(x,0) = u_1(x) \text{ a. e. in } \Omega_1,
\upsilon(x,0) = \upsilon_0(x), \upsilon_t(x,0) = \upsilon_1(x) \text{ a. e. in } \Omega_2,
\delta(x,0) = \delta_0(x), \delta_t(x,0) = \delta_1(x) \text{ a. e. on } \Gamma_2.$$

Theorem 1 (local existence and uniqueness). Let the following conditions be satisfied:

$$M, D, K \in C(\overline{\Gamma}_{2}), M > 0, D > 0, K > 0 \text{ for } \forall x \in \overline{\Gamma}_{2},$$
$$p > 1 \text{ if } n = 1, 2, \ 1
$$\rho \in C^{1}(-\infty; +\infty), |\rho(s)| \le c_{1}|s|^{q_{1}} \ (c_{1} > 0);$$$$

$$\rho(s)$$
 is a monotone increasing function on $(-\infty; +\infty)$

Then for

$$\forall (u_0, \upsilon_0, \delta_0) \in H^1_{\Gamma_1}(\Omega_1) \times H^1(\Omega_2) \times L^2(\Gamma_2) \\ \forall (u_1, \upsilon_1, \delta_1) \in L^{2q_1}(\Omega_1) \times L^{2q_2}(\Omega_2) \times L^2(\Gamma_2)$$

there exists the number T > 0 such that the problem (1)-(8) has a unique weak solution (u, v, δ) , satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{split} u &\in C([0,T]; H^{1}_{\Gamma_{1}}(\Omega_{1})), \ u_{t} \in C([0,T]; L^{2}(\Omega_{1})) \cap L^{q_{1}+1}(\Omega_{1} \times (0,T)), \\ \upsilon &\in C([0,T]; H^{1}(\Omega_{2})), \ \upsilon_{t} \in C([0,T]; L^{2}(\Omega_{2})) \cap L^{q_{2}+1}(\Omega_{2} \times (0,T)), \\ \delta, \delta_{t} \in L^{\infty}(0,T; L^{2}(\Gamma_{2})); \end{split}$$

moreover, if $T_{\text{max}} > 0$ — the length of the maximum interval of the existence of the solution (u, v, δ) , then the following alternative is valid: either

$$T_{\max} = +\infty;$$

or

$$\lim_{t \to T_{\max} \to 0} \left(\left\| u_t \right\|_1^2 + \left\| v_t \right\|_2^2 + \left\| \nabla u \right\|_1^2 + \left\| \nabla v \right\|_2^2 + \left\| \sqrt{M} \delta_t \right\|_{\Gamma_2}^2 + \left\| \sqrt{K} \delta \right\|_{\Gamma_2}^2 \right) = +\infty.$$

In the following theorem we establish our main result on existence global weak solutions of the problem (1)-(8) under the condition $p \le \min \{q_1, q_2\}$.

Theorem 2 (global existence and uniqueness). Assume that the conditions of the Theorem 1 and the condition $p \le \min \{q_1, q_2\}$ hold. Then the local weak solution (u, v, δ) of the problem (1)-(8) is global and T can be taken arbitrarily large.

3. Proof of global existence and uniqueness

Proof of the Theorem 2. Let (u, v, δ) be a weak solution of the problem (1)-(8). Multiplying the equations (1), (2), (3) by u_t, v_t, δ_t and integrating over

 $\Omega_{_{\rm I}},\,\Omega_{_{\rm 2}},\,\Gamma_{_{\rm 2}}$, respectively, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_t\|_1^2 - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}, u_t\right)_{\Gamma_2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u\|_1^2 + \left(|u_t|^{q_1+1}, 1|_1\right) = \frac{1}{p+1} \frac{d}{dt} \left(|u|^{p+1}, 1|_1\right),$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| v_t \|_2^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial v}, v_t \right)_{\Gamma_2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \nabla v \|_2^2 + \left(v_t \right)_{\Gamma_2}^{q_{2+1}}, 1 \right)_2 = \frac{1}{p+1} \frac{d}{dt} \left(v \right)_{\Gamma_1}^{p+1}, 1 \right)_2,$$
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \sqrt{M} \delta_t \|_{\Gamma_2}^2 + \left\| \sqrt{D} \delta_t \right\|_{\Gamma_2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \sqrt{K} \delta \|_{\Gamma_2}^2 = -\left(u_t, \delta_t \right)_{\Gamma_2},$$

whence using the first condition in (5), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| u_t \right\|_1^2 + \left\| \nabla u \right\|_1^2 + \left\| \upsilon_t \right\|_2^2 + \left\| \nabla \upsilon \right\|_2^2 + \left\| \sqrt{M} \,\delta_t \right\|_{\Gamma_2}^2 + \left\| \sqrt{K} \,\delta \right\|_{\Gamma_2}^2 \right) - \frac{1}{p+1} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\left\| u \right\|_{\Gamma_1}^{p+1}, 1 \right]_1 + \left\| \upsilon \right\|_{\Gamma_1}^{p+1}, 1 \right]_2 - \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial \upsilon}{\partial \nu}, u_t \right)_{\Gamma_2} + \left(u_t, \,\delta_t \right)_{\Gamma_2} + \left(u_t \right)_{\Gamma_1}^{q+1}, 1 \right)_1 + \left(\upsilon_t \right)_{\Gamma_2}^{q+1}, 1 \right)_2 + \left\| \sqrt{D} \,\delta_t \right\|_{\Gamma_2}^2 = 0$$

or by the second condition in (5):

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\| u_{t} \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| \nabla u \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| \upsilon_{t} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \nabla \upsilon \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{M} \,\delta_{t} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{K} \,\delta \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} \right) - \frac{1}{p+1} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\left(u_{t}^{p+1}, 1 \right)_{1} + \left(\upsilon_{t}^{p+1}, 1 \right)_{2} \right] + \left(u_{t}^{q+1}, 1 \right)_{1} + \left(\upsilon_{t}^{q+1}, 1 \right)_{2} + \left(\rho(u_{t}), u_{t}^{q} \right)_{\Gamma_{2}} + \left\| \sqrt{D} \,\delta_{t} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} = 0.$$
(9)

Integrating the equality (9) from 0 to t, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\left\| u_{t} \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| \nabla u \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| \upsilon_{t} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \nabla \upsilon \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{M} \,\delta_{t} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{K} \,\delta \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{p+1} \left(\left| u \right|^{p+1}, 1 \right)_{1} + \frac{1}{p+1} \left(\left| \upsilon \right|^{p+1}, 1 \right)_{2} + \left(10 \right) + \frac{1}{p} \left[\left(\left\| u_{t} \right\|_{\Gamma_{1}}^{q_{1}+1}, 1 \right)_{1} + \left(\left\| \upsilon_{t} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{q_{2}+1}, 1 \right)_{2} + \left(\rho \left(u_{t} \right), u_{t} \right)_{\Gamma_{2}} + \left\| \sqrt{D} \,\delta_{t} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} \right] d\tau =$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{2} \Big(\left\| u_{1} \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| \nabla u_{0} \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| \upsilon_{1} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \nabla \upsilon_{0} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{M} \delta_{1} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{K} \delta_{0} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} \Big) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{p+1} \Big(\left| u_{0} \right|^{p+1}, 1 \Big)_{1} + \frac{1}{p+1} \Big(\left| \upsilon_{0} \right|^{p+1}, 1 \Big)_{2} + \\ &+ 2 \int_{0}^{t} \Big(\left| u \right|^{p-1} u, u_{t} \Big)_{1} d\tau + 2 \int_{0}^{t} \Big(\left| \upsilon \right|^{p-1} \upsilon, \upsilon_{t} \Big)_{2} d\tau \,. \end{split}$$

First we estimate the last two terms of the right hand side of the equality (10). Using Holder's inequality with exponents

$$\rho = \frac{q_1 + 1}{q_1} \text{ and } \rho' = q_1 + 1\left(\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho'} = 1\right),$$

we get

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left(\left| u \right|^{p-1} u, u_{t} \right)_{1} d\tau \leq \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left| u \right|^{p} \left| u_{t} \right| dx d\tau \leq \left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left| u \right|^{\frac{p(q+1)}{q}} dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}} \left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left| u_{t} \right|^{q+1} dx d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}},$$

whence using Youngs inequality $\left(ab \le \frac{a^{\rho}}{\rho \eta^{\rho}} + \frac{\eta^{\rho'} b^{\rho'}}{\rho'}, \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho'} = 1\right)$ with the

parameter $\eta = \mu_1^{rac{1}{q_1+1}}$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left(\left| u \right|^{p-1} u, u_{t} \right)_{1} d\tau \leq \frac{q_{1}}{\left(q_{1}+1 \right) \mu_{1}^{\frac{1}{q_{1}}}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left| u \right|^{\frac{p(q_{1}+1)}{q_{1}}} dx \, d\tau + \frac{\mu_{1}}{q_{1}+1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left| u_{t} \right|^{q_{1}+1} dx \, d\tau$$

$$(11)$$

Since $p \le \min \{q_1, q_2\}$, then using Young's inequality with exponents

$$\rho = \frac{(p+1)q_{1}}{p(q_{1}+1)}, \quad \rho' = \frac{(p+1)q_{1}}{q_{1}-p} \quad \left(\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho'} = 1\right),$$

we get

$$|u|^{\frac{p(q_1+1)}{q_1}} \le \frac{p(q_1+1)}{(p+1)q_1} |u|^{p+1} + \frac{q_1-p}{(p+1)q_1}.$$

Using the last inequality in (11), we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left(\left| u \right|^{p-1} u, u_{t} \right)_{1} d\tau \leq \frac{p \mu_{1}^{-\frac{1}{q_{1}}}}{p+1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left| u \right|^{p+1} dx d\tau + \frac{\left(q_{1} - p \right) \mu_{1}^{-\frac{1}{q_{1}}} T \operatorname{mes} \Omega_{1}}{\left(q_{1} + 1 \right) \left(p+1 \right)} + \frac{\mu_{1}}{q_{1} + 1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \left| u_{t} \right|^{q_{1}+1} dx d\tau .$$

$$(12)$$

In a similar way we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left(\left| \nu \right|^{p-1} \nu, \nu_{t} \right)_{2} d\tau \leq \frac{p \mu_{2}^{-\frac{1}{q_{2}}}}{p+1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{2}} \left| \nu \right|^{p+1} dx d\tau + \frac{\left(q_{2} - p \right) \mu_{2}^{-\frac{1}{q_{2}}} T \operatorname{mes} \Omega_{2}}{\left(q_{2} + 1 \right) \left(p+1 \right)} + \frac{\mu_{2}}{q_{2} + 1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{2}} \left| \nu_{t} \right|^{q_{2}+1} dx d\tau .$$

$$(13)$$

Using (10) and the estimates (12) and (13) we conclude that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \Big(\left\| u_{t} \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| \nabla u \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| v_{t} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \nabla v \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{M} \delta_{t} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{K} \delta \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} \Big) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{p+1} \Big[\Big(\left| u \right|^{p+1}, 1 \Big)_{1} + \Big(\left| v \right|^{p+1}, 1 \Big)_{2} \Big] + \\ &+ \Big(1 - \frac{2\mu_{1}}{q_{1}+1} \Big)_{0}^{t} \left(\left| u_{t} \right|^{q_{1}+1}, 1 \Big)_{1} d\tau + \left(1 - \frac{2\mu_{2}}{q_{2}+1} \right)_{0}^{t} \left(\left| v_{t} \right|^{q_{2}+1}, 1 \Big)_{2} d\tau + \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \Big[\Big(\rho \left(u_{t} \right), u_{t} \Big)_{\Gamma_{2}} + \left\| \sqrt{D} \delta_{t} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} \Big] d\tau \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \Big(\left\| u_{1} \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| \nabla u_{0} \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| v_{1} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \nabla v_{0} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{M} \delta_{1} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{K} \delta_{0} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} \Big) + \quad (14) \\ &+ \frac{1}{p+1} \Big[\Big(\left| u_{0} \right|^{p+1}, 1 \Big)_{1} + \Big(\left| v_{0} \right|^{p+1}, 1 \Big)_{2} \Big] + \frac{T}{p+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\left(q_{i} - p \right) \mu_{i}^{\frac{1}{q_{i}}} \operatorname{mes} \Omega_{i}}{q_{i}+1} + \\ &+ \frac{p}{p+1} \operatorname{max} \left\{ \mu_{1}^{\frac{1}{q_{i}}}, \ \mu_{2}^{\frac{1}{q_{2}}} \right\}_{0}^{t} \Big[\Big(\left| u_{1} \right|^{p+1}, 1 \Big)_{1} + \Big(\left| v_{1} \right|^{p+1}, 1 \Big)_{1} \Big] d\tau. \end{split}$$

We choose the positive numbers $\mu_{_{1}}, \, \mu_{_{2}}\,$ such that the following inequalities hold:

$$1 - \frac{2\mu_1}{q_1 + 1} > 0, \ 1 - \frac{2\mu_2}{q_2 + 1} > 0.$$

Using Gronwall's inequality in (14) we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \Big(\left\| u_{t} \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| \nabla u \right\|_{1}^{2} + \left\| \upsilon_{t} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \nabla v \right\|_{2}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{M} \delta_{t} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} + \left\| \sqrt{K} \delta \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} \Big) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{p+1} \Big[\Big(\left| u \right|^{p+1}, 1 \Big)_{1} + \Big(\left| v \right|^{p+1}, 1 \Big)_{2} \Big] + \\ &+ \Big(1 - \frac{2\mu_{1}}{q_{1}+1} \Big)_{0}^{t} \left(\left| u_{t} \right|^{q_{1}+1}, 1 \Big)_{1} d\tau + \left(1 - \frac{2\mu_{2}}{q_{2}+1} \right)_{0}^{t} \left(\left| v_{t} \right|^{q_{2}+1}, 1 \Big)_{2} d\tau + \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \Big[\Big(\rho \left(u_{t} \right), u_{t} \Big)_{\Gamma_{2}} + \left\| \sqrt{D} \delta_{t} \right\|_{\Gamma_{2}}^{2} \Big] d\tau \leq C_{T} \,, \end{split}$$

where C_T depends on $\|u_1\|_1$, $\|v_1\|_2$, $\|\nabla u_0\|_1$, $\|\nabla v_0\|_2$, $\|\delta_0\|_{\Gamma_2}$, $\|\delta_1\|_{\Gamma_2}$ and on the positive number T, which is arbitrary.

Therefore, the local solution (u, v, δ) of the problem (1)-(8) obtained in the Theorem 1 is global.

Theorem 2 is proved.

References

- Beale JT, Rosencrans SI. Acoustic boundary conditions. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 1974, v. 80 (6), p. 1276-1278.
- Beale JT. Spectral properties of an acoustic boundary condition. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **1976**, v. 25 (9), p. 895-917.
- [3] Beale JT. Acoustic scattering from locally reacting surfaces. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1977, v. 26, p. 199-222.
- [4] Dautray R, Lions JL. Analyse et Calcul Numerique pour les Sciences et les Techniques. **1984**, v. 1, Masson, Paris.
- [5] Muñoz Rivera JE, Portillo Oquendo H. The transmission problem of viscoelastic waves. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae. 2000, v. 60, p. 1-21.
- [6] Liu W, Williams G. The Exponential Stability of the Problem of Transmission of the Wave Equation. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 1998, v. 57, p. 305-327.
- [7] Bae JJ. Nonlinear transmission problem for wave equation with boundary condition of memory type. Acta, Appl. Math. 2010, v. 110 (2), p. 907-919.
- [8] Bastos WD, Raposo CA. Transmission problem for waves with frictional damping. Electron. J. of Differential Equations. 2007, n. 60, 10 pp.
- [9] Cousin AT, Frota CL, Larkin NA. On a system of Klein-Gordon type equations with acoustic boundary conditions. J. Math. Appl. 2004, v. 293, p. 293-309.
- [10] Frota CL, Cousin AT, Larkin NA. Global solvability and asymptotic behaviour of a hyperbolic problem with acoustic boundary conditions. Funkcial. Ekvac. 2001, v. 44, n. 3, p. 471-485.
- [11] Frota CL, Goldstein JA. Some wave equations with acoustic boundary conditions.J. Differential Equations. 2000, v. 164, p. 92-109.

- [12] Frota CL, Vicente A. A hyperbolic system of Klein-Gordon type with acoustic boundary conditions. Int. J.Pure Appl. Math. 2008, v. 47 (2), p. 185-198.
- [13] Mugnolo D. Abstract wave equations with acoustic boundary conditions. Math. Nachr. 2006, v. 279 (3), p. 299-318.
- [14] Park JY, Park SH. Decay rate estimates for wave equations of memory type with acoustic boundary conditions. Nonlinear Analysis. 2011, v. 74, p. 993-998.
- [15] Frota CL, Medeyros LA, Vicente A. A mixed problem for semilinear wave equations with acoustic boundary conditions in domains with non-locally reacting boundary. Electron. J. of Differential Equations. 2014, v. 2014, n. 243, p. 1-14.
- [16] Gao Y, Liang J, Xiao J. Observability inequality and decay rate for wave equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. Elec. J. of Diff. Euations. 2017, v. 2017, n. 161, p. 1-12.
- [17] Lions JL, Magenes E. Nonhomogeneous boundary value problems and applications. I, Springer Verlag, Berlin, **1972**.
- [18] Lions JL. Quelques méthodes de rèsolution des problèmes aux limites non linèaires. Dunod Gaulthier-Villars, Paris, **1969**.
- [19] Jeong JM, Park JY, Kang YH. Global nonexistence of solutions for a quasilinear wave equation with acoustic boundary conditions. Jeong et al. Boundary Value Problems. 2017, v. 42, p. 1-10.
- [20] Frota CL, Larkin NA. Uniform stabilization for a hyperbolic equation with acoustic boundary conditions in simple connected domains. Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 2005, v. 66, p. 297-312.
- [21] Graber PJ. Wave equation with porous nonlinear acoustic boundary conditions generates a well-posed dynamical system. Nonlinear Anal. 2010, v. 73, p. 3058-3068.
- [22] Graber PJ. Strong stability and uniform decay of silutions to a wave equation with semilinear porous acoustic boundary conditions. Nonlinear Anal. 2011, v. 74, p. 3137-3148.
- [23] Graber PJ, Said-Houari B. On the wave equation with semilinear porous acoustic boundary conditions. J. Differential Equations. 2012, v. 252, p. 4898-4941.

- [24] Vicente A. Wave equation with acoustic/memory boundary conditions. Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat. **2009**, v. 27 (1), p. 29-39.
- [25] Boukhatem Y, Benabderrahmane B. Existence and decay of solutions for a viscoelastic wave equation with acoustic boundary conditions. Nonlinear Analysis. 2014, v. 97, p. 191-209.
- [26] Park JY, Ha TG. Well-posedness and uniform decay rates for the Klein-Gordon equation with damping term and acoustic boundary conditions. J. Math. Phys. 2009, v. 50 (1), p. 1-18.
- [27] Boukhatem Y, Benabderrahmane B. Polynomial Decay and Blow Up of Solutions for Variable Coefficients Viscoelastic Wave Equation with Acoustic Boundary Conditions. Acta mathematica Sinica, English series, Feb. 2016, v. 32, n.2, p. 153-174.,
- [28] Aliev AB, Mammadhasanov EH. Well-posedness of initial boundary value problem on longitudind impact on a composite linear viscoelastic bar. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences. 2017, v. 40 (14), p. 5380-5390.
- [29] Isayeva SE. Nonlinear wave equations with nonlinear transmission acoustic condition. Proceedings of IMM of NAS of Azerbaijan. 2021, v. 47, n. 2, p. 232-249.